



Last Days Beacon

October 2022 - Vol. 7, No. 10 L-80

WILL CONSERVATIVES EVER LEARN?



By Benjamin David Schnittger

The looming new “dirty trick,” being implemented by Democrats and “RINO” Republicans, is called “Ranked-choice voting.” Ranked-choice voting is a ranked voting system being used in some states and cities in the United States in which voters may prioritize (rank) their choice of candidates among many, and a procedure exists to count lower ranked candidates if and after higher ranked candidates have been eliminated, usually in a succession of counting rounds. Ranked-choice voting is used for state primary, congressional and presidential elections in Alaska and Maine and for local elections in more than 20 US cities. Several Democrat states are considering ranked-choice voting for congressional and presidential races as a way to overcome their unpopular policies (i.e., to cheat). For example, ranked-choice voting in Alaska recently resulted in a Republican Congressional candidate (Sarah Palin) losing to a Democrat who only scored 40% of the vote. Even though the Democrat lost to Palin, who received 60% of the vote, the Democrat won by taking a plurality of votes. This seems completely outrageous until we take a step back and consider the whole situation.

With a little thought and planning there are ways of overcoming ranked-choice voting. The Republicans might have to share a stage with a like-minded third-party candidate to succeed in winning plurality votes. This prospect of interparty cooperation is the true “tragedy” Conservative Inc.¹ alt media has been screaming about from the rooftops since the defeat in Alaska. For some reason, maybe just because of the election season we’re in, top conservative commentators have all come out with show schedules that include segments trashing libertarians and libertarian ideas.

Populist personalities must present themselves as some sort of quasi savior figures who know the one true way of defeating the globalist behemoth, which was able to shut down 207 countries during the plandemic simultaneously with minimal resistance. These personas all seem to have brash similarities with the late Rush Limbaugh. The very same Rush Limbaugh who used to play: “They’re coming to take me away!” for the third-party Ross Perot campaign in his run against globalist RINO George H. W. Bush, and globalist rapist Bill Clinton. Rush would throw barbs about mental illness at Ross at least once a day on his program, laughing and laughing about crazy Ross Perot. Why? Because Perot was not a Republican. Then, 24 years later, Rush comes to promote and defend Republican Donald Trump, despite Trump using a carbon copy of Ross Perot’s campaign platform.

Arguably, Donald Trump is the exact same type of politician as Ross Perot (both being billionaire nationalists). I’m sure Conservative Inc. wouldn’t have found it even a little bit ironic if Donald Trump had brought up the “Giant Sucking Sound to Malaysia if the TPP would have passed”; a phrase from third-party candidate Ross Perot that Republican radio hacks would constantly turn into a big joke on their daily broadcasts. This blatant hypocrisy by Conservative Inc. alt media about the existence of third-party candidates, whose principles they vociferously support today, cannot continue if the conservative movement is ever going to survive to conserve anything.

THE ANTI-MASONIC PARTY

Third parties all go back to the original third party in American history: The Anti-Masonic Party. The Anti-Masonic Party was formed in 1826 after one brave freemason blew the whistle on the demonic nature of Masonic beliefs and their corrupting influence over all levels of government. This whistle blower’s name was New Yorker, William Morgan. Before William Morgan could speak to all of New York about his experience in the Masonic Order (and publish an exposé titled *Illustrations of Masonry*), he was arrested by freemason police, and put in jail by a freemason judge. Even while in jail, Morgan still posed a threat to the Masonic influence over society, so members of the Freemasons were let into the jail by the Freemason police. Morgan was kidnapped, dragged over the border into Canada, and ritualistically slaughtered. His body was never found.

This infuriated Christians, to whom he told his message, and this in turn, sparked a literal cancellation of freemasonry. Churches turned them away, schools booted out the children of freemasons, businesses refused to serve them. If the justice system wished to cater to solely brothers of their cult,

¹ Conservative Inc. is a pejorative term used to describe the mindset of unprincipled people who view the conservative movement as a way to make money, rather than help others. Conservative Inc. is a loose coalition of self-interested RINOs/neoconservatives, token conservatives and establishment Republicans who try to claim leadership of the conservative movement while enriching or otherwise benefiting themselves.

then they would be catered to with starvation by their countrymen. This William Morgan Affair left an indelible scar in freemasonry to the present time. Who would wish to be bound by death if you ever speak of the horrors you see within the members of the lodge? That was the cost William Morgan paid for exposing the horrors of freemasonry.

When patriotic Americans formed the Anti-Masonic party, they frightened the establishment immensely. The entire city of Washington DC was a Masonic project yet to be fully realized. The expansion into the American west and the colonial conquest of a hemisphere wasn't complete. The global ambitions of the central planners in London weren't complete in America. These patriotic upstarts almost flipped the dark occultist project that traced its roots to "The New Atlantis" by Francis Bacon.

The Anti-Masonic party was made up mostly of religious intellectuals who prolifically wrote updates and missives to their large network of members. They decided to hold a convention where they would pick their own candidates by vote of the conventioners. At that time, there was no primary process for the establishment parties (Democrats and National Republicans). The establishment party leadership would pick the candidate, always choosing the freemason candidate, of course. After emerging as a political force in the late 1820s, most of the Anti-Masonic Party's members joined the Whig Party in the 1830s and the party disappeared after 1838. Because of the influence of the Anti-Masonic Party the Masonic expulsion from society continued for decades after the end of the Anti-Masonic Party.

Masonry had to rebrand itself as a philanthropic organization as a way to be above the law. Dewitt Clinton, Governor/Senator from the state of New York, grand master of all Freemasonry, had the nerve to put out a \$2,000 bounty for anyone with information on the disappearance of William Morgan, despite the real possibility that he, himself had ordered his murder.

Masons were in free fall in societal standing. In a desperate attempt to form alternative institutions operating far enough away from the parent organization, they created the Mormon cult shortly afterwards with their conman Joseph Smith, pied-piper-ing lost sheep into the wilderness. The Freemasons also set up new institutions like Skull and Bones (Yale, 1932) specifically to protect freemasonic interests in corporate pursuits. The aristocracy they controlled in academia would go on to dominate foreign and domestic policies. The Ivy League colleges were still the possession of fraternalism, and the minds they shaped towards *institutionalism*² would go on to shape the destiny of America. The newspaper was considered to be the most obvious mouthpiece of these fraternal orders, but consciousness of this fact seems to fade every election season.

The Anti-Masonic party, however, gave America a new way to pick candidates before they are ushered into office. The primary process, even though fraught with establishment interference, is still a way of sifting through the principles of a candidate before committing to them. This obscure third party changed the face of American politics forever, yet you have probably never heard of them.

² Institutionalism is a belief where the emphasis is placed on the usefulness of established institutions, often at the expense of the individual. An example of institutionalism is when an institution is given rights and powers that individual people do not have.

THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

In much the same way the power elite seized power in the 1820's through elite clubs, today's power elites have nearly perfected the political game as they try to wipe the board clean of any opposition. The new vehicles they use to further their agenda have changed, but the goal hasn't. Unfortunately, small minded Conservative Inc. has seemed recently to engage in divide and conquer tactics against the third largest party in America, the Libertarian Party.

The Libertarian Party was in complete shambles after their candidates in 2020 lost in an election that should've been theirs to turn into the world's biggest soapbox. The two-party corruption that brought in global house arrest and nearly caused mandatory, arbitrary, horrific medical interventions for every man, woman, and child in the world should have been the soap box for the Libertarian Party. What better selling point for any candidate to have? Instead, the Libertarian Party candidate was a boring professor, who couldn't clear 6% of the total vote. "Wokism" infected the highest offices in the Libertarian Party, much like it does in the current Republican Party. Instead of speaking out against the current thing, the entire LP leadership pushed *all* the current things in an effort to be acceptable to a corporate media who would sooner have them executed than allow a third-party candidate to change the face of all US elections again.

After the crushing 2020 Libertarian Party defeat, there was one caucus that was empowered above all others within the Libertarian Party: LP Mises Caucus³. They had had enough of the bumbling, malicious, "wokist" garbage coming out of the party leadership and took control over the *whole party* at the LP convention. To date, they're the only caucus that has achieved total control of the Libertarian Party.

On *Louder With Crowder* recently, Steven Crowder had Alex Jones on as a guest. During the course of the interview, Jones and Crowder unexpectedly stopped talking about their own myriad problems with the federal government and decided to take some swipes at libertarians. Despite the fact that the Republicans have never cleaned up their own party, they still insist on calling their own politicians RINOS (Republicans in name only), who, with only a handful of exceptions, makes up the totality of the Republican Party. Yet, they attack the Libertarian Party, dominated by America First conservatives!

A MODEST PROPOSAL

None of these shortsighted criticisms of libertarianism will help conservatives at all, if ranked-choice voting really is the weapon up the globalist sleeve. By choosing in-group tribalism, Conservative Inc. thinks they can shore up a few more votes in the midterms, but ultimately, they're just lobbying for another term for RINOS. Committing to flexible political strategies, the right can fight back against the

³ The Libertarian Party Mises Caucus (LPMC) is a caucus within the United States that promotes a version of libertarianism associated with the presidential campaigns of Ron Paul. The Mises Caucus is the largest caucus of the Libertarian Party, with their caucus controlling all leadership positions on the Libertarian National Committee. The LPMC believes in self ownership, property rights, the non-aggression principle, political self-determination, and an understanding of economics as taught by the Austrian school.

establishment by flipping on any leaders who refuse to fight back. Only cross-party cooperation can accomplish a victory against both of the dominant political party's globalist leaders. In ranked-choice voting, coalition-ism is the only way to defeat the unconscious voting class. You must be able to push someone down in rankings by offering more options to your opponents. The process itself can be a potent way of shoving out more globalist Republicans by casting a first choice against the Republican candidate while still listing the party second best. It could be a real opportunity, if Conservative Inc, ever learned.

America's third-party contribution can be a reality again, ready to throw a monkey wrench in the political gears of the establishment machine. A person who puts the interests of an institution above all costs is an *institutionalist*. Conservative Inc. seems to think the cost of losing a single Republican seat to a principled libertarian candidate is too high for the party to consider. Perhaps they, too, need a third-party wake-up call!

Benjamin David Schnittger is a military veteran, having served for 12 years in the Army Reserves, including two tours of duty in the Middle East.

Reprints of this publication may be obtained from
Southwest Prophecy Ministries (swpm.us)
P.O. Box 58043, Oklahoma City OK 73157
5 copies for \$5.00
25 copies for \$15